



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,018	09/01/2001	Craig M. Janik	005532.P007	1644
75	90 04/25/2003			
Archana B. Vittal BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
Seventh Floor	·	z ZAFMAN LLP	GRIER, LA	AURA A
12400 Wilshire Los Angeles, Ca				PAPER NUMBER
,			2644	5
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,018	JANIK, CRAIG M.	/-
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura A Grier	2644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a nally within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the applicatio			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er .		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		ne Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, . , . ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	oplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	* *		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Janky et al. U. S. Patent No. 6549942.

Regarding claim 1, Janky et al. (herein, Janky) discloses an enhanced delivery of audio data for portable playback (figures 1, and 3-4). Janky's disclosure comprises an internetwork server (11) for providing digital audio data via a LAN to a PC (computer), the data is decompressed, there is a D/A converter for converting the digital data into analog electrical data which is transferred to an digital audio playback device (col. 2, lines 1-32 and 42-63 and col. 4, lines 48-51 and col. 9, lines 1-46).

Regarding claim 2, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Janky further discloses a user interface (col. 2, lines 52-60).

Regarding claim 3, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Janky further discloses the first device as a PC (col. 2, lines 27-32).

Regarding claim 4, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). Janky further discloses a user interface via a PC (col. 2, lines 27-32 and 52-63)

Regarding claim 5, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). Janky further discloses the SPU as portable electronic device (abstract and col. 2, lines 1-3, and col. 9, lines 1-21).

Application/Control Number: 09/945,018

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claim 7, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). Janky further discloses storage of the digital audio data on the computer system on the hard drive, floppy drive, etc, (col. 5, lines 34-44), which constitutes as a database on a computer system.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). Janky further discloses the digital data coming from the Internet, which constitutes as the WAN (col. 4, lines 24-37).

Regarding claims 11 and 13, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). Janky further discloses wireless transfer of the audio data, thus a wireless transceiver via a wireless transfer protocol is inherently taught, and as well as the portable digital audio playback device inherently the audio converter with a wireless LAN network adapter as evident by the fact that the a Wireless LAN modern may be used (col. 4, lines 48-63 and col. 2, lines 1-8).

- 3. Regarding claim 15, it has been interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth above in the rejection of claim 1. Since claim 15 discloses a method which corresponds to the apparatus of claim 1, the method is inherent in that it simply provides functionality for the logical apparatus implementation found in claim 1.
- 4. Claims 16-20, 22-24, 26, 28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Janky.

Regarding claim 16, Janky et al. (herein, Janky) discloses an enhanced delivery of audio data for portable playback (figures 1, and 3-4). Janky's disclosure inherently supports an audio converter device as evident by the fact that Janky's portable digital audio playback device comprises an internetwork server (11) providing digital audio data via a LAN to a PC (computer), the data is decompressed, a D/A converter for converting the digital data into analog electrical data which is transferred to an digital audio playback device, SPU (col. 2, lines 1-32 and 42-63 and col. 4, lines 48-51 and col. 9, lines 1-46).

Regarding claims 17, 18 and 19, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 16).

Janky further discloses a user interface via a PC (col. 2, lines 27-32 and 52-63)

Regarding claim 20, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 16). Janky further discloses the SPU as portable electronic device (abstract and col. 2, lines 1-3, and col. 9, lines 1-21).

Application/Control Number: 09/945,018 Page 4

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding claim 22, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 16). Janky further discloses storage of the digital audio data on the computer system on the hard drive, floppy drive, etc, (col. 5, lines 34-44), which constitutes as a database on a computer system.

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 16). Janky further discloses the digital data coming from the Internet, which constitutes as the WAN (col. 4, lines 24-37).

Regarding claims 26 and 28, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 16). Janky further discloses wireless transfer of the audio data, thus a wireless transceiver via a wireless transfer protocol is inherently taught, and as well as the portable digital audio playback device inherently the audio converter with a wireless LAN network adapter as evident by the fact that the a Wireless LAN modern may be used (col. 4, lines 48-63 and col. 2, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 32, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 16). Janky further indicates the communication links as being various means including telephone lines (col. 2, lines 17-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6, 14, 21, 29, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janky in view of Adair et al., U. S. Patent No. 6424369.

Regarding claims 6, 14, 21, and 29, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 5 13, 16, 20, and 28, respectively). However, Janky fails to specifically disclose the portable electronic device as a personal digital assistant (PDA). The examiner maintains that a PDA was well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,018 Page 5

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding the PDA, in a similar field of endeavor, Adair et al. (herein, Adair) discloses hand-held computers incorporating reduced area imaging devices. Adair discloses the use of a PDA for receiving transmitted or transferred audio data/signal from a PC (computer), figures 4 and 5 and col. 6, lines 38-42 and 19, lines 10-12).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky by providing a PDA, a small portable electronic device, for receiving or download audio.

8. Claims 10, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janky.

Regarding claims 10 and 25, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1 and 16, respectively). Janky discloses that audio transferred to the PC can come for the Internet and as well as other additional data sources (col. 3, lines 61-62).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky by providing a CD player for providing digital audio data to be transmitted to the computer.

9. Claims 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janky.

Regarding claims 30-31, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 16). Janky discloses the transfer connection of the audio data to the SPU via a hardwire or wireless communication internetwork means (col. 2, lines 4-9). Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky by incorporating transmission means of a AC power lines and/or Ethernet connection for transferring the audio data to the portable device, wherein such means of audio transmission are commonly used in the art.

10. Claims 33-38, and 40-41, 43, 45-46, 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janky in view of Adair.

Regarding claims 33, 41 and 46 Janky et al. (herein, Janky) discloses an enhanced delivery of audio data for portable playback (figures 1, and 3-4). Janky's disclosure inherently supports an audio converter device as evident by the fact that Janky's portable digital audio playback device comprises an internetwork server (11) for

Application/Control Number: 09/945,018

Art Unit: 2644

providing digital audio data via a LAN to a PC (computer), the data is decompressed, there is a D/A converter for converting the digital data into analog electrical data which is transferred to an digital audio playback device, SPU, and a microprocessor (55) for implement automatic transfer of the data via software (col. 2, lines 1-32 and 42-63 and col. 4, lines 48-51 and col. 9, lines 1-46). However, Janky fails to specifically disclose the SPU having a transceiver for receiving the data from the computer. The examiner maintains that use of such a transceiver was well known in the art.

Regarding the transceiver, Adair discloses hand-held computers incorporating reduced area imaging devices. Adair discloses the use of a PDA for receiving transmitted or transferred audio data/signal from a PC (computer), wherein the PDA is a small portable unit (SPU) that includes a transceiver (figures 4 and 5 and col. 6, lines 38-42 and col. 19, lines 10-12, and 26-29).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky by providing a small portable electronic device, for receiving or downloading audio.

Regarding claims 34-35, 43-44, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 33 and 41, respectively). Janky further discloses a user interface via a PC (col. 2, lines 27-32 and 52-63)

Regarding claim 36, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 33 and 41, respectively). Janky further discloses the SPU as portable electronic device (abstract and col. 2, lines 1-3, and col. 9, lines 1-21).

Regarding claims 37-38, 45 and 49, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 33 and 41, resepctively). Adair et al. (herein, Adair) discloses hand-held computers incorporating reduced area imaging devices. Adair discloses the use of a PDA for receiving transmitted or transferred audio data/signal from a PC (computer), figures 4 and 5 and col. 6, lines 38-42 and 19, lines 10-12).

Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky by providing a PDA, a small portable electronic device, for receiving or download audio.

Regarding claims 40 and 48, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 33 and 41, respectively). Janky further discloses wireless transfer of the audio data, thus a wireless transceiver via a wireless

Art Unit: 2644

transfer protocol is inherently taught, and as well as the portable digital audio playback device is inherently the audio converter with a wireless LAN network adapter as evident by the fact that the a Wireless LAN modern may be used (col. 4, lines 48-63 and col. 2, lines 1-8).

11. Claims 12 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janky.

Regarding claims 12, and 27, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1 and 16, respectively). Janky further discloses various wireless transfer protocol may be used. Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky by providing the IEEE802.11b protocol for the purpose providing optimal audio data transmission as desired.

12. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janky in view of Adair.

Regarding claim 47, Janky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 41). Janky further discloses various wireless transfer protocol may be used. Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky by providing the IEEE802.11b protocol for the purpose providing optimal audio data transmission as desired.

13. Claims 39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janky in view of Adair.

Regarding claims 39 and 42, Janky and Adair discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 41). However, Janky and Adair fail to specifically disclose a remote control for providing command controls for to a receiver for transfer of the audio data. The use of a remote control is commonly used. Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Janky and Adair by incorporating a remote control outputting command signals to a receiver of the device for the purpose of transmitted audio data as needed for the purpose of providing the user of the device optimal convenience and freedom of movement when using the device.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,018

Art Unit: 2644

Conclusion

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.